

STABILITY PROPERTIES OF QUANTUM IMPULSIVE SOLOW GROWTH MODEL

ABIMBOLA L.A, AYANSOLA O.A AND OLAJIDE J.T

THE POLYTECHNIC IBADAN, IBADAN NIGERIA.

abimbolalatifat@yahoo.com

Abstract

We generalize impulsive Solow growth model to quantum calculus of Hudson and Parthasarathy formulation, we investigate boundedness and stability of solution of economic model that are subject to instantaneous perturbations due to endogenous delays. We apply the direct Lyapunov method in establishing sufficient conditions for uniform, ultimate boundedness and uniform, asymptotic stability of these solutions.

AMS Subject Classification: 81S25, 34A37, 34D10.

Keyword: Direct Lyapunov method, Uniform asymptotic stability, Uniform ultimate boundedness, Quantum Impulsive Solow Growth Model.

INTRODUCTION

In the recent years, the field of quantum economics & finance have appeared in order to interpret erratic stock market behavior with the use of quantum mechanical concepts [1, 4, 5, 11, 15, 17, 18, 20]. Segal & Segal introduced quantum effects into the Merton-Black-Scholes model in order to incorporate market features such as the impossibility of simultaneous measurement of prices and their instantaneous derivatives. They did that by adding to the Brownian motion B_t used to represent the evolution of public information affecting the market, a process Y_t which represents the influence of factors not simultaneously measurable with those involved B_t . They sketch a calculus for dealing with such processes. They concluded that the combined processes $aB_t + bY_t$ may be represented as (in their notation) $\Phi((a + ib)\chi_{0,t})$ where for a Hilbert space element f , $e^{i\Phi(f)}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[0, t]$. In the context of the Hudson and Parthasarathy quantum calculus [10, 14], simple linear combinations of $\Phi(f)$ and $\Phi(if)$ defined the Boson Fock space annihilator and creator operators A_f and A_f^+ . Segal and Segal used $\Phi(\chi_{0,t})$ as the basic integrator process with integrands restricted to a special class of exponential processes. In view of the above reduction of Φ to A and A^+ , it is logical to study economic growth using as integrators the annihilator and creator processes of Hudson-Parthasarathy quantum stochastic calculus, thus exploiting its much larger class of integrable processes than the one considered in [18]. The Hudson-Parthasarathy calculus has a wide range of applications. For applications examples on control Theory we refer to [2] and [9] and the references therein.

Fundamental Structures

Following the fundamental structures in [3,10,12,18], we employ the locally convex space \tilde{A} of a non-commutative process whose topology is generated by family of seminorms,

$\|x\|_{\eta, \xi} = \langle \eta, x\xi \rangle$. The underlying element of \tilde{A} consist linear maps from $D \otimes E$ into $R \otimes \Gamma(L^2_\gamma(R_+))$ having domain of their adjoints containing $. D \otimes E$

Definitions

Let D and E be two Hilbert spaces. The algebraic tensor product of D and E denoted by $D \otimes E$ is the scalar product induced by the sesquilinear forms of D and E. It has natural positive definite sesquilinear form and its completion is a Hilbert space. The completion of the algebraic tensor product in these two norms are called the projective and injective tensor products. The topologies of the locally convex spaces are given by families of seminorms.

Let $\eta = c \otimes e(\alpha)$, $\xi = d \otimes e(\beta)$ be exponential vectors in $D \otimes E$ such that

- i. $P : I \rightarrow P_{wac}(\tilde{A})$, $P(t, x)(\eta, \xi) = \langle \eta, P_{\alpha, \beta}(t, x)\xi \rangle$
Let $P_{\alpha, \beta} = P, P_{wac}$ is the space of the weakly absolutely continuous process in \tilde{A}
- ii. The space $Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac} = \{X : \tilde{A} ; X \text{ is adapted absolutely continuous everywhere except for some } t_k \text{ at which } X(t_k^-) \text{ and } X(t_k^+), k = 1, 2, \dots, m \text{ exists and } X(t_k^-) = X(t_k^+)\}$.
- iii. For each pair $\eta, \xi \in D \otimes E$ we define the space of complex valued numbers associated with (i) as $Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac, \eta, \xi} = \{\langle \eta, \Phi(\cdot)\xi \rangle : \Phi \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac}\}$
- iv. On $Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac}$ we define a seminorm $\|\Phi\|_{p, \eta, \xi} = \sup\{\|\Phi\|_{\eta, \xi}, t \in I\}$ (1) and denote by $P_{wac}(\tilde{A})$ the completion of the locally convex space whose topology is generated by the seminorm in (1).

Quantum Solow Growth Model with Endogenous Delays

Solow growth model is defined by the O.D.E

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = sf(x(t)) - n_s x(t) \quad (2)$$

where $x = C/L$ denotes the Capital-Labour ratio; $f(x)$ is the production per unit of labour, s is the saving rate ($0 < s < 1$), $n_s > 0$ is the rate of change of the labour supply $\frac{dL}{dt}/L$ which was initially assumed to be exogenous by Solow.

The current rate of change of the labour supply is related to past fertility, and thus to past levels of wage, following a prescribed pattern of delay. There are two main alternatives: fixed delays and distributed delays. The former is better suited when there is no variability in the process of transmission of the past into the future; for instance: when we assume that all individuals are recruited in the labour force at the same fixed age. Conversely, when recruitment may occur at different ages, i.e. with different delays (for instance because the time needed to complete formal education is heterogeneous within the population), distributed delays appear more suitable. Quantum stochastic calculus was designed to describe the dynamics of quantum processes and we propose that we use it to study the non-commutative Solow growth model. The introduction of a distributed delay in the population term in (2) leads to the following quantum integro-differential equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt}(\eta, \xi) = sf(x(t))(\eta, \xi) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^t n_s(f(x(\tau))) g(t - \tau) d\tau \right] x(t)(\eta, \xi) \quad (3)$$

for arbitrary $\eta, \xi \in D \otimes E$ where the term $n_s(f(x(\tau)))$, $\tau < t$ captures the past income-related fertility, and $g(t - \tau)$ is the corresponding delay kernel. Quantum integro-differential equation of this type in problem (3) are the generalization of the major economic applications known as vintage capital models (VCMs). The VCMs bring a new type of stability and optimization problems that involve the optimal control of an endogenous delay [19, and references therein]. On the other hand, the state of economic processes is often subject to shocks at certain instants, which may be caused by population changes, financial-structural and technological changes, which always implies impulses.

Evolution of quantum financial models as prompted us to extend Solow growth model with impulsive effects to describe the evolutionary process of the system since delays and impulses can affect the dynamical behaviours of the system. It is further necessary to investigate both the delay and the impulsive effects on the stability of the economic model.

For each pair $\eta, \xi \in D \otimes E, t_0 \in I$ we consider the following quantum impulsive Solow growth model with endogenous delay

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \frac{dx(t)}{dt}(\eta, \xi) = sf(x(t))(\eta, \xi) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^t n_s(f(x(\tau))) g(t - \tau) d\tau \right] x(t)(\eta, \xi), t \geq t_0, t \neq t_k, \\ \Delta x(t_k)(\eta, \xi) = x(t_k + 0) - x(t_k) = J_k x(t_k), \end{array} \right. \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

Where $x : N \subset \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$; $f : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{A}$, $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is the delay kernel function $0 < s < 1$, $n_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $t_k < t_{k+1} < \dots$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) are the moments of impulsive perturbations due to which capital/labour ratio changes from position $x(t_k)$ to $x(t_{k+0})$; J_k are constants which represent the magnitude of the impulsive effect at the moment t_k and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$ System (4) is the quantum generalization of the Solow growth equation with endogenous delay [19]. The case where $\Delta x(t_k)(\eta, \xi) < 0$ corresponds to instantaneous reduction of the capital-labour ratio at time t_k ; while the case

$\Delta x(t_k)(\eta, \xi) > 0$ describes heavy intensification of the capital- labour ratio.

Definitions

- Let $x_0 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{vac}$ such that $x(t)(\eta, \xi) = x(t; t_0, x_0)$, $x_{\eta\xi} \in \tilde{A}$, for easy of notation $x(t)(\eta, \xi)$ is denoted by X be the solution of the system (4) satisfying the initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} x_{\eta,\xi}(t; t_0, x_0) = x_0(t - t_0)(\eta, \xi), t \in (-\infty, t_0), \\ x_{\eta,\xi}(t + 0; t_0, x_0) = x_0(0)(\eta, \xi), \quad \eta, \xi \in D \otimes E \dots \dots \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and let $H^+ = H^+(t_0, x_0)(\eta, \xi)$, the maximal interval in which the solution $x_{\eta\xi}(t; t_0, x_0)$, is defined. While

$$\|x_0\|_{\eta,\xi} = \max_{t \in (-\infty, t_0]} \|x_0(t - t_0)\|_{\eta,\xi}$$

is the norm of map $x_0 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{vac}$.

- The solution of system (4) satisfying (5) is said to be *equi-bounded* if for all $t_0 \in I$, $\alpha > 0$, there exist $\beta(t_0, \alpha) > 0$ such that for all $\phi_0 \in C(I, \tilde{A}) : \|\phi_0\|_{\eta,\xi} < \alpha \quad \forall t \geq t_0; \|x(t; t_0, \phi_0)\|_{\eta,\xi} < \beta$
- Is *Uniformly bounded*, if β in (2) is independent of $t_0 \in I$
- Is *quasi-uniformly ultimately bounded* if there exist $\beta > 0, \forall \alpha > 0$ and also there exist $T = T(\alpha) > 0 \forall t_0 \in I$ such that $\phi_0 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{vac} : \|\phi_0\|_{\eta,\xi} < \alpha \quad \forall t \geq t_0 + T; \|x(t; t_0, \phi_0)\|_{\eta,\xi} < \beta$
- Is *Uniformly ultimately bounded* if (3) & (4) hold
- The solution of system (4) satisfying (5) is said to be *Stable* if for all $t_0 \in I$, $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta = \delta(t_0, \epsilon) > 0$ such that for all $\phi_0 \in C(I, \tilde{A}) : \|\phi_0\|_{\eta,\xi} < \delta \quad \forall t \geq t_0; \|x(t; t_0, \phi_0)\|_{\eta,\xi} < \epsilon$
- Is *Uniformly stable*, if δ in (6) is independent of $t_0 \in I$
- Is *equi-attractive* if there exist $\lambda = \lambda(t_0) > 0, \forall \epsilon > 0$ and also there exist $T = T(t_0, \epsilon) > 0, \forall t_0 \in I$ such that $\phi_0 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{vac} : \|\phi_0\|_{\eta,\xi} < \lambda, \forall t \geq t_0 + T; \|x(t; t_0, \phi_0)\|_{\eta,\xi} < \epsilon$
- Is *Uniformly attractive*, if λ and T in (8) is independent of $t_0 \in I$
- Is *Uniformly asymptotically stable* if (7) & (9) hold.

Let us consider the following Assumptions

A₁ The delay kernel $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous, and there exist a positive number ρ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^t g(t - \tau) d\tau \leq \rho < \infty, \forall t \in [t_0, \infty), t \neq t_0, k = 1, 2,$$

A₂ The map f is continuous on A^\sim , $f(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, $f(0) = 0$ and there exist a positive continuous function $a(t)$ such that

$$\left(\frac{f(x_1(t))(\eta, \xi)}{(x_1(t))(\eta, \xi)} - \frac{f(x_2(t))(\eta, \xi)}{(x_2(t))(\eta, \xi)} \right) \frac{1}{x_1(t) - x_2(t)} \leq -a(t)$$

for all $x_1, x_2 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac}$, $x_1, x_2 \neq 0, x_1 \neq x_2$ for all $t \in I, t \neq t_k$

A₃ The map n_s is continuous on \mathbb{R} , $n_s(f(x))(\eta, \xi) > 0$, for $n_s(f(0)) = 0$ and there exist a positive continuous function $b(t)$ such that

$\|n_s(f(x_1(t))) - n_s(f(x_2(t)))\|_{\eta, \xi} \leq b(t) \|x_1(t) - x_2(t)\|_{\eta, \xi}$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac}$ and $b(t)$ is non-increasing for $t \in I$,

$t \neq t_k \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$

A₄ $t_0 < t_1 < \dots$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_k = \infty$

A₅ Let $C^m < \infty, C^L > 0$, where $C^m = \max[C_i]$ and $C^L = \min[C_i]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$

In the proof of our main theorems, we shall use the following results

Lemma 1

Let hypothesis A₁ – A₄ hold, and

$$\int_{-\infty}^t n_s(f(x(\tau)))g(t - \tau) d\tau$$

be continuous for all $t \geq t_0$. Then $H^+(t_0, x_0) = I$

Proof

If $\int_{-\infty}^t n_s(f(x(\tau)))g(t - \tau) d\tau$

is continuous for all $t \geq t_0$, then under the hypothesis A₁ – A₄ the system (4) and (5) has a unique solution $x(t)(\eta, \xi) = x(t; t_0, x_0)$ with $x_0 \in Pad(I, \tilde{A})_{wac}$. This means that solution $x(t)(\eta, \xi) = x(t; t_0, x_0)$ of problem (4) and (5) is defined on $[t_0, t_1] \cup (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. From the hypothesis A₄, we conclude that it is continuable for $t \geq t_0$ ■

Lemma 2

Assume that:

i Condition of lemma I hold,

ii $x(t)(\eta, \xi) = x(t; t_0, x_0)$ is a solution of of problem (4) and (5) such that

$$x(t)(\eta, \zeta) = x(t - t_0)(\eta, \zeta) \geq 0, \quad \sup x_0(s) < \infty, x_0(0) > 0 \quad (6)$$

iii) For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, 1 + J_k > 0$. Then $x(t)(\eta, \zeta) > 0, t \geq t_0$

Proof

By integrating problem (4) on the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ we have

$$x_i(t)(\eta, \xi) = x_1(t_0^+) \exp \left(\int_{t_0}^t F(\tau) d\tau \right)$$

Where $F_i(t)(\eta, \zeta) = sf(x(t))(\eta, \xi) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^t n_s (f(x(\tau))) g(t - \tau) d\tau \right] x(t)(\eta, \xi), t \geq t_0, t \neq t_k$

Since in the interval $[t_0, t_1]$ there is no points of discontinuity of $x_i(t)$, from equation

(6) it is obvious that $x_1(t) > 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$. Then $x_1(t) > 0$ we have from equation

(5) that $x_i(t_1^+) = x_i(t_1) + J_{i,1}x_i(t_1) + C_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$

from condition (iii) of lemma 2 and hypothesis A_5 , it follows that

$$x_i(t_1^+) = (1 + J_{i,1})x_i(t_1) + C_i > 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

we continue integration of equation (4) on interval $[t_1, t_2]$ and we have

$$x_i(t)(\eta, \xi) = x_1(t_1^+) \exp \left[\int_{t_0}^{t_1} F_i(\tau) d\tau \right] t \in [t_1, t_2]$$

from the above relation, it follows that $x_i(t)(\eta, \zeta) > 0$ for $[t_1, t_2], \eta, \zeta \in D \otimes E$,

from the above relation, it follows that $x_i(t)(\eta, \zeta) > 0$ for $t \in [t_1, t_2]$.

By similar arguments, we can obtain that

$$x_i(t)(\eta, \xi) = x_i(t_k^+) \exp \left[\int_{t_k}^t F_i(\tau) d\tau \right] t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, so $x_i(t)(\eta, \xi) > 0$, for $t \geq t_0$ ■

Theorem 1

Assume That

(i) Condition (i) and (ii) of lemma (2) hold

(ii) $-1 < J_k \leq 0$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Then equation (4) is uniformly ultimately bounded.

Proof

From condition (i) and (ii) lemma (2). It follows that $t \in [t_0, t_1] \cup (t_k, t_{k+1}]$,

$k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exist a positive constants m^*i and $Mi^* < \infty$ such that

$$m^*i \leq x(t) \leq Mi^*$$

if we set $M^* = \max_k Mk^*$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then by lemma (2) and condition(2)

of this theorem, we have $0 < x(t_{k+0}) = (1 + J_k)x(t_k) \leq x(t_k) \leq M^* \blacksquare$

Corollary 1

Let the condition of theorem I hold. Then there exist a positive constant

$m, M < \infty$ such that

$$m \leq x(t) \leq M, t \in I$$

Let $\tilde{x}_0 \in Pad(I, A^{\sim})_{wac}$, and let $\tilde{x}(t) = \tilde{x}(t; t_0, \tilde{x}_0)$ be a solution of problem

(4) for all $t \geq t_0$ with initial conditions

$$\tilde{x}(t; t_0, \tilde{x}_0) = \tilde{x}_0(t - t_0), t \in I; \tilde{x}(t_0 + 0) = \tilde{x}_0(0)$$

In the following, we shall suppose that

$$x(t) = x_0(t - t_0) \geq 0, \sup x_0(s) < \infty, x_0(0) > 0$$

Theorem 2

(i) Condition of theorem 1 hold.

(ii) There exist a positive constant L such that

$$L_m + M_\rho \max_{t \in (-\infty, t]} b(\tau) \leq msa(t), t \geq t_0, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then the solution $x(t)$ of problem (4) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

Proof

Define the Lyapunov function

$$V(t; x, \tilde{x}) = \left\| \frac{x}{\tilde{x}} \right\|_{\eta, \xi} \dots \dots \dots (8)$$

By the mean value theorem, it follows that for any closed interval contained in $[t_0, t_1] \cup (t_k, t_{k+1})$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\frac{1}{M} \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{\eta, \xi} \leq \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{\eta, \xi} \leq \frac{1}{m} \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{\eta, \xi} \quad (9)$$

if $\|x_0(t) - \tilde{x}_0(t)\|_{\eta, \xi} < \delta < \infty$, then we obtain from inequality (9)

$$\begin{aligned} V(t_0 + 0, x(t_0 + 0), \tilde{x}(t_0 + 0)) &= \|x(t_0 + 0) - \tilde{x}(t_0 + 0)\|_{\eta, \xi} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \|x_0(t_0 + 0) - \tilde{x}_0(t_0 + 0)\|_{\eta, \xi} \leq \frac{1}{m} \|x_0 - \tilde{x}_0\|_{\eta, \xi} < \delta < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Considering the upper right-hand derivative $D^+V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t))$ of the map $V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t))$ with respect to problem (4). For $t \geq t_0, t \neq t_k, k=1, 2, \dots$, we derive the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} D_2^+ V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)) &= \left(\frac{dx}{dt}(\eta, \xi) - \frac{d\tilde{x}}{dt}(\eta, \xi) \right) \text{sgn}(x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)) \\ &\leq s \left(\frac{f(x(t))(\eta, \xi)}{x(t)(\eta, \xi)} - \frac{f(\tilde{x}(t))(\eta, \xi)}{\tilde{x}(t)(\eta, \xi)} \right) \left(\frac{\|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{(\eta, \xi)}}{x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)} \right) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^t \|n_s(f(x(\tau))) - n_s(f(\tilde{x}(\tau)))\|_{\eta, \xi} g(t - \tau) d\tau \\ &\leq -sa(t) \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{\eta, \xi} + \int_{-\infty}^t b(\tau) \|x(\tau) - \tilde{x}(\tau)\|_{\eta, \xi} g(t - \tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

From equation (9), using the Razumikhin condition

$$V(\tau, x(\tau), \tilde{x}(\tau)) \leq V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)), \tau \in (-\infty, t], t \geq t_0$$

we have

$$\leq \frac{1}{m} \|x(\tau) - \tilde{x}(\tau)\|_{\eta, \xi}, \tau \in (-\infty, t], t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots (11)$$

From equation (11) and condition (2) of theorem (2), we obtain

$$D^+V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)) \leq -L \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{(\eta, \xi)} \leq -L_m V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)), t \geq t_0, t \neq t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots (12)$$

Also for $t = t_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(t_k + 0, x(t_k + 0), \tilde{x}(t_k + 0)) &= \left\| \frac{x(t_k + 0)}{\tilde{x}(t_k + 0)} \right\|_{\eta, \xi} \\ &= \left\| \frac{1 + J_k x(t_k)}{1 + J_k \tilde{x}(t_k + 0)} \right\|_{\eta, \xi} = V(t_1, x(t_1), \tilde{x}(t_1)) \dots \dots (13) \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the solution $x(t)$ of problem(4) satisfying (5) is uniformly asymptotically stable ■

REFERENCES

- (1) Accardi, L. and Boukas. A., (2006), Quantum Black-Scholes Equation, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 2 No2, pp155-170
- (2) Accardi L., Boukas A., (2002), Quadratic control of quantum processes, Russian Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol.9, no. 4, pp. 381-400.
- (3) Ayoola, E. O.(2001), Lipschitzian quantum stochastic differential equations and the associated Kurzweil equations, Stoch. Analysis Appl. 19(4) 581 - 604.
- (4) Baaquie B. E. (2006), Price of coupon bond options in a quantum field theory of forward interest rates, Phys. A370 no. 1, 9g-103.
- (5) Baaquie B. E., coriano c., Srikant M.(2003), Quantum mechanics, path integrals and option pricing: reducing the complexity of finance, Nonlinear physics: theory and experiment, II (Gallipoli,2002),33-339, world Sci. publ., River Edge, NJ.
- (6) Bainov, D.D. and Dishliev, A.B_l (1997), The phenomenon “beating” of the solutions of impulsive functional differential equations, Communications in Applied Analysis 1, pp. 435–441.
- (7) Bainov. D.D., Dishliev, A.D. and Stamova, I.M.,(2002), Practical stability of the solutions of impulsive systems of differential-difference equations via the method of comparison and some applications to population dynamics, ANZIAM J. 43, pp. 525–539.
- (8) Bainov. D.D. and Stamova, I.M., (2001), Vector Lyapunov functions and conditional stability for systems of impulsive differential-difference equations, ANZIAM J. 42 (2001), pp.341–353
- (9) Boukas A.(2005), Application of Quantum Stochastic Calculus to Feed-back Control, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 1, no.1.
- (10) Ekhuagere, G. O. S., (1992), Lipschitzian quantum stochastic differential inclusions, Internat. J.Theoret. Phys. Vol.31(11), 2003-2034.
- (11) Guerrini, L., (2006), The Solow–Swan model with a bounded population growth rate, Journal of Mathematical Economics 42, pp. 14–2

- (12) Hudson R. L., Parthasarathy K. R., (1984), Quantum Ito's formula and stochastic evolutions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 93,301-323.
- (13) Lakshmikantham, V., Bainov. D.D. and Simeonov, P.S., (1989), *Theory of Impulsive Differential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, New Jersey, London, 1989.
- (14) Lyapunov, A.M., (1950), *General Problem on Stability of Motion*, *Grostechiz- dat, Moscow-Leningrad*, (in Russian).
- (15) Maslov V.P., (2005), *Quantum Economics*, *Russ. J. Math. phys* 12, no.2, 21g-231.
- (16) Parthasarathy K. R., (1992), *An introduction to quantum stochastic calculus*, Birkhauser Boston Inc.
- (17) Piotrowski P. W., Schroeder M., Zambrzycka A., (2005), *Quantum extension of European option pricing based on the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process*, arxiv: quant-ph/0510121, vi.

Piotrowski P. W., Sladkowski J., (2002), *Quantum market games*, *Phys.*

From equation (12) and (13), we have

$V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)) \leq V(t_0 + 0, x(t_0 + 0), \tilde{x}(t_0 + 0)) \exp[-Lm(t - t_0)]$ (14) Then from (14), (9) and (10), we deduce the inequality

1

$$V(t, x(t), \tilde{x}(t)) \leq m \|x_0 - \tilde{x}_0\|_{(q, \xi)} \exp[-Lm(t - t_0)] t \geq t_0$$

Theorem 1

\tilde{A}